

REGISTER OF CLASSIFICATION DECISIONS

Date of entry in Register: 09 April 2003
Name of applicant/court: The District Court at Manukau
Applicant to the Labelling Body: Not Applicable
Title of Publication: Naughty Neighbors February 2001
Other Known Title(s): Naughty Neighbors February 2001 Vol. 7 No. 2;
Naughty Neighbor February 2001
Director(s): Not Applicable
Producer(s): Not Applicable
Publisher: The Score Group
Editor(s): Bruce Smith
Format: Magazine - Periodical
Country of Origin: USA
Language(s): English

.....
Components of film originally examined: Not Applicable.

Feature: Running Time:
Trailers: Running Time:
Total Running Time:

Excision/Alteration: Not Applicable.

Reason(s) for Excision:

Not Applicable.

... Please turn over

Classification Decision:

Objectionable.

Display Conditions:

Not Applicable.

Descriptive Note:

Not Applicable.

.....

Direction to issue a label has been given on: Not Applicable.

.....

SUMMARY OF THE REASONS FOR DECISION:

The magazine entitled *Naughty Neighbors February 2001* is classified as:
Objectionable.

The content of the magazine is predominantly made up of explicit photographs of "amateur" female models posing naked, or in various states of undress, and engaging in sexual activity. However, it also contains numerous advertisements for sexually explicit products and services.

The magazine tends to promote and support the exploitation of young persons for sexual purposes as outlined under s3(2)(a) of the Films, Videos, and Publications Classification Act 1993 (FVPC Act). While the focus of the magazine is primarily on "amateur" models, many of the advertisements show females who are clearly presented as young persons, posing naked or engaging in sex, as well as making reference to sexual activity with young persons. The magazine encourages the reader to be sexually aroused by young persons and implicitly presents them as sexually desirable and viable sexual partners.

The magazine also tends to promote and support the use of urine in association with sexual conduct as outlined under s3(2)(d) of the FVPC Act. It contains a number of advertisements depicting, and describing, women urinating in a sexual context and presents the use of urine in association with sexual conduct as sexually arousing.

As the publication meets criteria listed in s3(2) of the FVPC Act, it is deemed to be objectionable. A classification of "objectionable" places a limit on the right to the freedom of expression as set out in s14 of the New Zealand Bill of Rights Act 1990. However, in relation to this publication, the classification is considered to be a reasonable limit prescribed by law that can be demonstrably justified in a free and democratic society.

OFLC No: 201999